

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

LOS ANGELES
CENTURY CITY
IRVINE

NEWPORT BEACH NEW YORK

SAN FRANCISCO

1625 Eye Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006-4001

TELEPHONE (202) 383-5300 FACSIMILE (202) 383-5414 INTERNET: www.omm.com

SILICON VALLEY
TYSONS CORNER
BEIJING
HONG KONG
LONDON

SHANGHAI TOKYO OUR FILE NUMBER 892,050-215

August 22, 2003

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 RECEIVED

AUG 2 2 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL (202) 383-5382

writer's e-mail address knewman@omm.com

Re: WC Docket No. 02-359

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned proceeding are an original and four copies of Verizon's Motion for Extension of Procedural Schedule.

Sincerely,

Kimberly A. Newman

of O'Melveny & Myers LLP

0+4

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 RECEIVED

AUG 2 2 2003

| In the Matter of: |) FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY |
|---|---|
| Petition of Cavalier Telephone, LLC |) |
| Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the |) |
| Communications Act for Preemption |) WC Docket No. 02-359 |
| Of the Jurisdiction of the Virginia State |) |
| Corporation Commission Regarding |) |
| Interconnection Disputes with Verizon |) |
| Virginia, Inc. and for Arbitration |) |
| | _) |

VERIZON'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon") hereby moves for a ten-day extension of the procedural schedule previously established in this case. Verizon respectfully submits that, in light of the Commission's issuance late yesterday of its approximately 575-page, 800-paragraph Triennial Review Order ("Order"), a short procedural extension will permit Verizon a brief opportunity to review the Order and to analyze its affect on the issues in this case.

When Verizon originally agreed to the Procedural Schedule, it anticipated that the Commission would issue the Order well in advance of the due dates described in that schedule. Now, however, Verizon's response to the arbitration petition and proposed interconnection agreement language is due in just four days -- on August 26th, 2003. Verizon continues to review and analyze the Order. Based upon an initial review, it appears that the Order may address a number of issues in this case that relate to Verizon's obligation to provide undbundled network elements. The short time between the issuance of the Order

and the due date for Verizon's response will not afford Verizon an opportunity to analyze the breadth, scope and complexity of the Order and its effect on Verizon's positions and contract proposals.

Verizon therefore respectfully requests that the Commission extend all dates on the Procedural Schedule by ten days.

Dated: August 22, 2003

Respectively submitted,

Karen Zacharia Kathleen M. Grillo

Verizon

1515 North Court House Road

Arlington, VA 22201

(703) 351-3193

James R. Young Kimberly A. Newman O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1625 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-4001 (202) 383-5382

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that true and accurate copies of the foregoing Verizon's Motion for Extension of Procedural Schedule were served electronically and by overnight mail this August 22, 2003 to:

Via Hand Delivery and Electronic Mail:

Marlene E. Shoemaker Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20006-3458 mshoemaker@crblaw.com

Stephen T. Perkins Cavalier Telephone Mid Atlantic 2134 W. Laburnum Ave. Richmond, VA 23227 sperkins@cavtel.com

Via Electronic Mail:

Ms. Terri Natoli (tnatoli@fcc.gov)

Mr. Jeremy Miller (jmiller@fcc.gov)

Mr. Brad Koerner (bkoerner@fcc.gov)

Ms. Christine Newcomb (cnewcomb@fcc.gov)

Mr. Richard Lerner (rlerner@fcc.gov)

Mr. John Adams (jadams@fcc.gov); and

Ms. Margaret Dailey (mdailey@fcc.gov)